PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 069547.0237	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below		
International application No. PCT/US2005/003166	International filing date (day/month/year) 31 January 2005 (31.01.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 29 January 2004 (29.01.2004)		
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237				
Applicant ESPEED, INC.				

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 <i>bis</i> .1(a).				
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.				
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.				
3.	3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:				
	Box No. I	Basis of the report			
	Box No. II	Priority			
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention			
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited			
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application			
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application			
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).				

	Date of issuance of this report 31 July 2006 (31.07.2006)
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Dorothée Mülhausen
Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70	e-mail: pt01@wipo.int

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the	TONAL SEADCE	HING AT ITH	OPITY				
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: SAMIR A. BHAVSAR BAKER BOTTS LLP 2001 ROSS AVENUE, SUITE 600 DALLAS, TX 75201				PCT REC'D 6 7 MOV 2005 WIPO P WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
				(PCT Rule 43bis.1)			
					Date of mailing (day/month/year)	04 NOV 2005	
Applicant'	's or agent's file r	eference			FOR FURTHER	ACTION See paragraph 2 below	
069547.02							
Internation	nal application No),	Internation	onal filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/US0				ry 2005 (31.01.2		29 January 2004 (29.01.2004)	
Internation	nal Patent Classifi	cation (IPC)	or both nati	ional classificati	ion and IPC	İ	
	06F 17/60 and US	C1.: 705/32					
Applicant							
ESPEED,	INC.						
1. This o	opinion contains in	ndications rela	ating to the	following item	s:		
\boxtimes	Box No. I	Basis of the	opinion				
	Box No. II	Priority					
	Box No. III	Non-establi	shment of	opinion with reg	gard to novelty, inve	ntive step and industrial applicability	
	Box No. IV	Lack of unit	ty of inven	tion			
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
	Box No. VI	Certain doc	uments cit	ed			
	Box No. VII	Certain defe	ects in the i	international app	plication		
	Box No. VIII	Certain obse	ervations o	n the internation	nal application		
If a d	THER ACTION emand for international Prelimina	ational prelim	inary exar g Authori	nination is mad ty ("IPEA") ex	e, this opinion will cept that this does	be considered to be a written opinion of the not apply where the applicant chooses an	
Autho	ority other than th	is one to be t	the IPEA a	and the chosen l		e International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b)	
IPEA of Fo	a written reply to	ogether, where or before the o	e appropria expiration	ite, with amendi	ments, before the ex	PEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the piration of 3 months from the date of mailing whichever expires later.	
	orther details, see o			220.			
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Date of completion of this opinion Authorized officer					Authorized officer		
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US			27 Sentember 2	.005 (27.09.2005)	Vincent Millin		
P.O. Box 1450			2. Doptomoof 2	(21.07.2003)	V LT OUT		
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230						Telephone No. (571) 272-5250	

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US05/03166

Box No. I Basis of this opinion						
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:						
the international application in the language in which it was filed						
a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).						
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:						
a. type of material						
a sequence listing						
table(s) related to the sequence listing						
b. format of material						
on paper						
in electronic form						
c. time of filing/furnishing						
contained in the international application as filed.						
filed together with the international application in electronic form.						
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.						
In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.						
4. Additional comments:						
DOT/ISA (027/DN1) (A1) 0006)						

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US05/03166

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

· , , , *

Novelty (N)	Claims NONE	YES
	Claims 1-35	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims NONE	YES
	Claims 1-35	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-35	YES
	Claims NONE	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-35 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Korhammer et al. in view of Pourhamid.

Re claims 1, 8-9, 11-12, and 28-30, Korhammer teaches a system for routing a trading center (para. 0001), comprising:

a memory operable to store policy information, cost information, and rebate information associated with a plurality of market center (figs. 3 and 5);

a processor coupled to the memory and operable to (figs. 5-6):

receive a plurality of market center prices for the trading order (fig. 8b);

receive best price information for the trading product (para. 0003);

adjust at least one market center price according to the policy information of the corresponding market center and the best price information (para. 0004);

adjust at least one market center price according to at least one of the cost information corresponding market center (figs. 10-11);

compare the plurality of market center prices (para. 0019); and

select a particular market center based at least in part upon the comparison (para. 0052). However, Korhammer does not explicitly teach rebate information. On the other hand, Pourhamid discloses rebate information (para. 0002 and 0043; figs. 1 and 3). He discloses credit coupons for stocks on the issuing company. These credit coupons will convert into valuable stocks. These credit coupons are valuable rebate information to later on be converted into stocks. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include rebate information as a credit coupons as discloses in McDonald.

Re claims 2 and 19-20, Korhammer teaches trading order specifies at least one of a bid request and an offer request for the trading product, the trading order further specifying a quantity for the trading product (para. 0037).

Re claims 3 and 21, Korhammer teaches a financial instrument (para. 0018).

Re claims 4 and 22, Korhammer teaches processor is further operable to route the trading order to the selected market center (para. 0034).

Re claims 5, 7, 10, 13-18, 23-26, 32, and 34, Korhammer teaches each market center price comprises at least one of a bid price and an offer price for the trading product (para. 0052).

Re claims 6, 27, 31, 33, and 35, Korhammer teaches policy information indicates whether a particular market center will match, split, or disregard the best price information (para. 0054).